IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 652 of 2006(M/B)

1. Anup Singh s/o Khajan Singh
2. Rajpal s/o Bhaundu
3. Sonu Tyagi S/o Ram Goapl
4. Arvind Badhai s/o Mangat
   All r/o village Bhurna,
   P.S. Laksar, Distt. Haridwar. …… Petitioners.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police, Distt. Haridwar.
3. S.H.O. of P.S. Laksar, Distt. Haridwar.
4. Rajpal Singh s/o Jaharu r/o Village Bhurna,
   P.S. Laksar, Distt. Haridwar. …… Respondents.

……

Sri Raj Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 to 3.
None for respondent no.4.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Raj Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for respondents 1 to 3.

None for respondent no.4.

2. Sri Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners-Anup singh, Rajpal, Sonu Tyagi and Arvind Badhai seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them now has become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Court.

3. In view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 15.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 15.07.2006

A